

C/015/032 Incoming #4365

P.O. Box 910, East Carbon, Utah 84520 794 North "C" Canyon Rd, East Carbon, Utah 84520 Telephone (435) 888-4000 Fax (435) 888-4002

Daron Haddock
Permit Supervisor
Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining
P.O. Box 145801
1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801

July 22, 2013

RECEIVED
JUL 2 5 2013

DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

Re: Clean Copies of (Adding a Fence around Burma Pond (C/015/032) (13-006) Task

ID# 4365)

Dear Mr. Haddock:

Please find attached Five (5) clean copies of the Burma Pond Fence application. Task

Completed C1 and C2 forms are included.

If you have any questions please give me a call at (435) 888-4007.

Sincerely,

R. Jay Marshall P.E.

by Marchael

Resident Agent

| Form DOGM - C.1 | I (Last Revised | July 22, 2013) | | | | | File Folder # | | |
|---|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|--|--|
| APPLICATION FOR PERMIT PROCESSING | | | | | | | | | |
| Permit Cha | ange □ | New Permit □ | Renewal □ | Transfer 🗆 | Exploration | Bond Release □ | Permit Number: 015/032 | | |
| of Proposal: Clean Copies (Adding a fence to Burma Pond (13-008)) Task 4365 Mine: GENWAL Mine: | | | | | | | | | |
| Permittee: GENWAL Resources, Inc. | | | | | | | | | |
| Description, include reason for application and timing required to implement:. | | | | | | | | | |
| Instructions: If you answer yes to any of the first 8 questions (gray), submit the application to the Salt Lake Office. Otherwise, you may submit it to your reclamation specialist | | | | | | | | | |
| mstructi | T you | answer yes to any t | or the first o ques | gray), subn | пи ине аррисанот го | THE SAIL LAKE OFFICE. C | onerwise, you may submit it to your reclamation specialist. | | |
| □ Yes | □No | 1. Change in | the size of the | e Permit Area | ? | acres Disturbed | Area? acres □ increase □ decrease. | | |
| □ Yes | □ No 2. Is the application submitted as a result of a Division Order? | | | | | | | | |

3. Does application include operations outside a previously identified Cumulative Hydrologic Impact Area?

5. Does application result from cancellation, reduction or increase of insurance or reclamation bond?

7. Does the application require or include ownership, control, right-of-entry, or compliance information?

4. Does application include operations in hydrologic basins other than as currently approved?

8. Is proposed activity within 100 feet of a public road or cemetery or 300 feet of an occupied dwelling? □ Yes □ No □ No 9. Is the application submitted as a result of a Violation? □ Yes 10. Is the application submitted as a result of other laws or regulations or policies? Explain: □ No □ Yes 11. Does the application affect the surface landowner or change the post mining land use? es □ No □ No 12. Does the application require or include underground design or mine sequence and timing? s □ Yes □ No 13. Does the application require or include collection and reporting of any baseline information?

14. Could the application have any effect on wildlife or vegetation outside the current disturbed area?

□ Yes □ No 16. Does the application require or include vegetation monitoring, removal or revegetation activities? □ Yes □ No 17. Does the application require or include construction, modification, or removal of surface facilities? FENCE □ Yes □ No 18. Does the application require or include water monitoring, sediment or drainage control measures?

19. Does the application require or include certified designs, maps, or calculations? □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No 20. Does the application require or include subsidence control or monitoring?

□ No 21. Have reclamation costs for bonding been provided for?

15. Does application require or include soil removal, storage or placement?

6. Does the application require or include public notice/publication?

22. Does application involve a perennial stream, a stream buffer zone or discharges to a stream? □ No

23. Does the application affect permits issued by other agencies or permits issued to other entities? □ No

□ Attach _5_ complete copies of the application.

I hereby certify that I am a responsible official of the applicant and that the information contained in this application is true and correct to the best of my information and belief in all respects with the laws of Utah in reference to commitments, undertakings, and obligations, herein. (R645-301-123)

2013.

A J. Manhall Signed - Name - Position - Date

and sworn to before me this 22 day of July

□ Yes

My Commission Expires: Attest: SIATE OF

□ No



Received by Oil, Gas & Mining RECEIVED

JUL 2 5 2013

DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

ASSIGNED TRACKING NUMBER

Application for Permit Processing Detailed Schedule of Changes to the MRP

e of Application: Clean Copies (Adding a fence to Burma Pond (13-006)) sk #4365

Permit Number: 015/032

Mine: GENWAL Mine

Permittee: Genwal Resources, Inc.

Provide a detailed listing of all changes to the mining and reclamation plan which will be required as a result of this proposed permit application. Individually list all maps and drawings which are to be added, replaced, or removed from the plan. Include changes of the table of contents, section of the plan, pages, or other information as needed to specifically locate, identify and revise the existing mining and reclamation plan. Include page, section and drawing numbers as part of the description.

| | | | DESCRIPTION OF MAP, TEXT, OR MATERIALS TO BE CHANGED |
|-------|-----------|----------|--|
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | Page 11 of Appendix 7-66 with the revised Page 11 |
| □ ADD | □REPLACE | □ REMOVE | Drawing #4 with the revised Drawing #4 |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | AS-CONSTRUCTED drawing with the revised AS-CONSTRUCTED drawing |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |
| ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |
| □ ADD | □ REPLACE | □ REMOVE | |

Any other specific or special instructions required for insertion of this proposal into the Mining and Reclamation Plan?

RECEIVED
JUL 2 5 2013

constructed as a shallow cut in the native ground. In final design, this upper access road will be a continuation of the entrance road.

- 11) To protect groundwater from potential exposure to leachate, an engineered liner will be installed in the interior of the pond. The proposed liner is described in Attachment #2 of Appendix 7-66 of the approved MRP and is the same as that used for the cells in the treatment plant at Crandall.
- 12). It should be emphasized that this basin is not expected to normally impound much if any water, only temporarily after cleaning disposal. At an average of 80,000 gallons of diluted sludge material per two-month cleaning cycle (as explained above), coming primarily during the concentrated two-week cleaning periods, the maximum depth of standing water at any given time is not anticipated to exceed 5 inches. In between the anticipated two-month cleaning cycles, the evapo-transpiration process is expected to quickly eliminate any standing water to a damp, thin concentrated filter-cake, or dry out completely. This assumption has been verified through previous experience when the material was disposed of at the Wildcat Loadout facility. The basin will be constructed 5' deep, primarily to provide ample excavated fill material to be replaced to a depth of 48" at time of final reclamation. With a 5' basin depth, the cleaning water could actually fill to a standing depth of 36" and still allow 24" of freeboard to the top of the containment berm.

The basin is not designed to ever discharge and all of-site drainage is diverted around the pond. However, at DOGM requirements, a single small 5' x 6" emergency spillway has been designed into the structure as shown on Drawing #4. This will allow the release, in a controlled fashion, of any flows in the highly improbable chance that water filled the pond. Other than the watery material disposed of from the Crandall treatment, the only water entering the basin will be from natural rainfall or snowfall. The 10-year, 24-hour event in this area is 2.00 inches and the 100-year, 24-hour event is 2.59 inches. As discussed in Chapter 7, the anticipated rise in water level from the 10yr event will be about 4 inches and for the 100yr event will be about 4.5 inches. Hence, there is no statistical probability that the basin will ever fill with water above the 18" freeboard level to the spillway elevation in the berm, given the fact that no undisturbed drainage reports to the basin. The basin can better be envisioned as a large depressed evaporation area rather than an impoundment structure.

- 13) The in-slopes to the basin will be constructed to a shallow slope of 3 vertical to 1 horizontal. Even though the basin contents (dried iron precipitate material) has been tested as non-toxic, non-hazardous and non-acid forming, and poses no public health threat, a fence and gate will be installed around the pond to protect the pond liner from cattle and wildlife. The gate will prevent accidental and unauthorized access from the road.
- 14) Based on the design shown on Drawings 4 and 5 of Attachment 1, the computer-generated volume of excavation is 3,500 cubic yards. Of this volume, 1,137 cubic yards

1" = 100